

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/609,721	06/30/2000	Mark S. Dennis	P1713R1	2692
7	590 01/15/2002	•		
Jeffrey S kubinec			EXAMINER /	
1 DNA Way			JIANG,	DONG /
South San Fran	icisco, CA 94080			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
		DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.						
Examiner Dong Jiang		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAINTENED STATUTORY DEPENDENCIAL OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editorison of fine many be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled state 2x (6), WONTHS from the maining date of the communication. If WO period for reply is specified above, the maintenance of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled state 2x (6), WONTHS from the maining date of the communication. If WO period for reply is specified above, the maintenance of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). If WO period for reply is specified above, the maining date of the communication. If WO period for reply is specified above, the maining date of the communication, even if timely filled, may induce any Status. I) ME Responsive to communication(s) filled on 15 November 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is filled to a communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 21-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subjected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 11 approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The orth or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or provisional application)	Office Action Summany	09/609,721	DENNIS, MARK S.			
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the pand for many to a residue the provisions of 3 CPR 1.158(a). In no avent, however, may a neply be timally filed control of the provision of the provision of the provision of 3 CPR 1.158(a). In no avent, however, may a neply be timally filed the provision of the pand to reply specified above in less than the provision of 3 CPR 1.708(a). If the pand for reply specified above in less than the provision of 3 CPR 1.708(b). If the pand for reply specified above in less than the provision of 3 CPR 1.708(a). If the pand for reply specified above in less than the provision of 3 CPR 1.708(b). Any many reserved by the Office later than there maints after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patherns. See 3 CPR 1.708(b). A provision of Claims This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 21-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 21-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are abjected to by the Examiner. 4) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CPR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and STATUTORY STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS STATUTORY OF THIS STATUTORY. and STATUTORY STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) Says and will apply sold will be considered time. Apply and the apply sold will be considered to sold will be c						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be weighte under the proxima of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be limity filled of the CSX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It no serves the many be weighted before the communication of the communication. It no serves the provision of the proximal provision of the communication of the communication of the communication. Proximal plants the mailing date of the communication. Proximal plants the mailing date of the communication of the communication of the communication. Proximal plants the mailing date of the communication. Proximal plants the proximal plants the mailing date of the communication. Proximal plants the mailing date of the		ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 N	lovember 2001 .				
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
4)						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4.	Disposition of Claims					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 21-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
6)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
7	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 5) Other:	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 21-40</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column for one of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 6) Other:	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4. 6) Other:	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonge of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies on received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 6) ☐ Other:	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a)	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 . 6) ☐ Other:	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 . 6) Other:	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 6) Other:	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 Other:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 6) Other:	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 6) Other:	·					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 4. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	·					

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Applicant's amendment and election with traverse of SEQ ID NO:14 in Paper No. 7, filed on 15 November 2001 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the pending claims related to a peptide containing a common sequence motif, and that claims encompassing the motif can be examined in a single application without an undue burden on the Office. This argument is persuasive, and requirement for the species restriction is withdrawn. Following the amendment, claim 11-20 are canceled, claims 1-10, 21, and 30 are amended, and the new claims 39 and 40 are added.

Currently claims 1-10, and 21-40 are pending and under consideration.

Formal Matters:

Claim 23 is objected to for depending on a canceled claim, claim 20. The applicant is required to amend the claims to read only upon the pending claims.

Objections and Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112:

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10, 22-26, and 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it is unclear what is intended by "a non-naturally occurring" peptide ligand. "Isolated" or "purified" is suggested.

Claims 2 and 33 are indefinite because it is unclear which peptide ligand is intended as the claims are dependent from claim 1, which recites two "peptide ligand".

Claims 5, 6, 7 are indefinite because the definition of Xaa₁ is not consistent with that in claim 1 from which claims 5, 6, 7 are dependent.

Claims 6-10 are similarly indefinite, wherein the definition of Xaa₃ is not consistent with that in claim 1.

Art Unit: 1646

Claim 22 is indefinite because it is unclear where "a linker sequence" is located, could it be inserted *in* the sequence of claim 21?

Claim 35 recites the limitation "the control sequence" in line 2. As the claim depends from claim 33, and there is no such term in claim 33, therefore, there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 37 is indefinite for the recitation of "expressing a DNA molecule encoding a peptide ligand". It is unclear what it is meant by the term, as the cells may express peptide ligands other than said specific peptide ligand.

Claim 39 is indefinite because it is unclear whether "a functional moiety" is "linked to" the peptide ligand or to the 1-7 amino acids of Xaa₃.

The remaining claims are rejected for depending from an indefinite claim.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1-10 and 33-38 are directed to a non-naturally occurring peptide ligand which competes for binding HER2 in an in vitro assay with a peptide ligand having SEQ ID NO:90.

<u>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</u>, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See *Vas-Cath* at page 1116).

With the exception of SEQ ID NO:90, which would compete with itself in an in vitro assay, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed

Art Unit: 1646

peptide ligands, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, no non-naturally occurring peptide ligand except that having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:90 meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

The broad genus claim is represented by *one* molecular species described with particularity in the disclosure, the peptide ligand having SEQ ID NO:90. No other peptide ligand, or species meeting the limitations of the claims is identified or particularly described. The Examiner, therefore, concludes that the one species of the HER2 peptide ligand, which would compete with itself in an in vitro assay is not likely to be representative of all species recited in claim 1, and thus that the disclosure does not convey to those skilled in the art that the inventors were in possession of the genus of all HER2 peptide ligands at the time the application was filed.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 30 is directed to a pharmaceutical composition comprising the formulated peptide ligand, which indicates a therapeutic application of the composition. Although the prior art indicates a direct role for HER2 in the pathogenesis and clinical aggressiveness of HER2-

Art Unit: 1646

overexpressing tumors, and HER2 as a predictor of a poor prognosis in certain malignancies, the specification provides no actual experiment result of any kind to indicate the in vivo application of the claimed peptide ligand. Further, the specification provides no guidance, nor working example as to how to use the claimed invention. The evidence of in vitro binding of the peptide ligand to HER2 is, by itself, insufficient because a binding ligand can be an angonist or antagonist of a given receptor, it is unpredictable that the present peptide ligand is an antagonist of HER2 without experimental evidence to confirm such. And therefore, the skilled artisan would not accept that the said peptide ligand would be beneficial to those individuals indicated in the prior art. Undue experimentation is required to determine such.

Rejections Over Prior Art:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 37 C.F.R. 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 1 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by, or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jessell et al., US 5,279,966.

Claim 1 requires the functional limitation that a non-naturally occurring peptide ligand competes for binding HER2 with a peptide ligand having the stated formula, which apparently has a property of binding to HER2. Such a peptide would be expected to be able to compete with itself in an in vitro assay. The reference teaches an amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:15), which comprises the amino acid sequence identical to that in the formula of claims 1 and 30 of the instant application (see appended computer printout of sequence search results), and a pharmaceutical composition thereof (the abstract, the last 5 lines), but does not mention the HER2 binding property as claimed. The examiner is unable to determine whether the prior art disclosure possesses the non-recited property. With these conditions, where the prior art peptide comprises the amino acid sequence that seems to meet the sequence limitation of the formulated peptide of claim 1 (and 30), and could be able to compete with the formulated peptide in an in vitro assay except that the prior art is silent to the HER2 binding property claimed, then the burden shifts to the applicant to provide evidence that the prior art would neither anticipate nor render obvious the claimed invention. Note the case law of *In re Best* 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Claims 21-29, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jessel et al., US5,279,966, in view of Capon et al., US5,116,964.

Jessel discloses an amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:15), which comprises the amino acid sequence in part (a) of claim 21 in the instant application (see appended computer printout of sequence search results).

The primary reference does not teach a recombinant fusion polypeptide comprising a linker sequence, an immunoglobulin constant region sequence.

Capon discloses a novel polypeptide comprising an immunoglobulin Fc region, and a target protein sequence (column 5, lines 13-20). The cited reference indicates that fusion of a target protein to a stable plasma protein such as an immunoglobulin constant domain extends the in vivo plasma half-life, and facilitate purification of the protein (column 4, lines 38-43, and column 5, lines 13-20). Additionally, Capon suggests that the fusions may be modified by

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/609,721

Art Unit: 1646

linking them through peptidyl or in vitro generated bonds to an additional therapeutic moiety such as a polypeptide toxin, or other functionalality (column 5, lines 56-60), and that they may be purified and formulated in pharmacologically acceptable vehicles for therapeutic applications (column 6, lines 6-8). Furthermore, the reference teaches that the fusions retain at least functionally active hinge, CH2 and CH3 domains of the constant region of an immunoglobulin heavy chain (column 10, lines 10-12).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the amino acid sequence of Jessel et al., which meets the limitations of part (a) in claim 21, to make a fusion polypeptide comprising said peptide and an Ig constant region sequence as taught by Capon. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a fusion polypeptide (as claims 21, 23-26), or a fusion polypeptide further comprising an additional fuctional moiety, such as a cytotoxic agent (as in claims 27-29, 31, and 32) for the treatment as Jessell suggested (the abstract, lines 12-15, and column 9, the first paragraph), because it would prolonged in vivo plasma half-life, and confer or increase the therapeutic value to the peptide as suggested by Capon (column 5, lines 56-60), and reasonably would have expected success in view of Capon's disclosure, in which various genes had already been expressed successfully in their systems at the time the invention was made.

Capon does not specifically teach a linker (as claim 22). However, the reference suggests that the precise site at which the fusion is made is not critical, and may be determined by routine experimentation (column 10, lines 21-22). Additionally, it is well known in the art that an optional linker sequence may be used for making fusion proteins. Therefore, the claim merely recites the obvious employment of a well-known practice.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hastings et al. (US 5,871,969) discloses a human neuronal attachment factor-1 with an amino acid sequence of 52 residues, which comprises a sequence of Cys-Xaa-Gly-Pro-Gly-Cys, wherein Xaa is Met. (see computer printout of the search results).

Art Unit: 1646

Lippman et al. (US 5,869,618) discloses a 30 kDa TGFa-like glycoprotein which binds to the erbB2 oncogene product (HER2), and a method for stimulating and/or inhibiting the growth of cells which overexpress the human oncogene erbB-2.

Conclusion:

No claim is allowed.

Advisory Information:

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dong Jiang whose telephone number is 703-305-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

PRIMARY EXAMINER